1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CARL DAVID WHITE, 10 11 Plaintiff, 2:04-cv-2276-GEB-GGH-P 12 VS. 13 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al., 14 Defendants. ORDER 15 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action 18 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 19 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 20 On November 2, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 21 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 22 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Neither 23 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

1

supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY

The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be

24

25

26

ORDERED that:

## 

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed November 2, 2005, are adopted in
2	full; and
3	2. Defendants' May 19, 2005, motion to dismiss on grounds that plaintiff failed
4	to exhaust administrative remedies is denied;
5	3. Defendants' May 19, 2005, motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim is
6	granted with respect to the following claims: 1) imposition of the R suffix caused plaintiff to not
7	earn appropriate time credits; 2) the claims against defendant Prosper.
8	Dated: January 26, 2006
9	
10	<u>/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.</u> GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	